

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/773,248	KELLER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Seyed Azarian	2625	

Interview Summary			
interview Cummu.y	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Seyed Azarian	2625	<u></u>
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Seyed Azarian.	(3)		
(2) <u>Jason C. Beckstead</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 August 2004.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	²)☐ applicant's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) <u>□</u> No.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ I	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: In brief interview applicar suggested ways to clarify the independent claim or amended based on a applicant's previous remarks. However examinareconsider this case.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT of Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant summary.	at discussed the Ref. and claim that may overcome agrees upon the feature remembers which the examiner agrey of the amendments that value of the amendments that value of the action has already the MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	m limitation and ene the prior art of sponse, examine greed would render the would render the state of the sponse	examiner Frecord r will er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS UMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	nature, if required	